

REMARKS

Applicants received an office communication dated June 23, 2004 which stated that applicants' last reply was incomplete.

First, the examiner stated that the amendment to the specification to move the entire text from pages 30-37 is improper without printing the text to be entered in the amendment as well as deleting said text from the specification by a separate amendment.

Applicants herein amend the specification to comply with the rules.

The examiner also stated that the objection to the format of the Description of the Drawings has not been addressed. Applicants point out that corrected drawings were submitted in the last response with the sequence listing. Applicants again submit these corrected drawings in the present reply.

The examiner stated that the rejection of claim 30 under 35 USC §112, ¶2 has not been addressed. Applicants herein address the rejection by amending claim 30. Applicants believe claim 30 as amended is not indefinite.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including Extension of Time fees to Deposit Account No. 11-0345. Please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

KEIL & WEINKAUF

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Daniel Kim", written in a cursive style.

Daniel S. Kim

Reg. No. 51877

1350 Connecticut Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036  
(202)659-0100  
DSK/sb